

Summer 2010 REU; Kansas State University

Alex Yeagle, Cornell College

Cristian Gaidau, University of Minnesota

August 6th, 2010



Contents:

- Background
 - Neutrino Oscillations
 - MicroBooNE
- Hand-Scanning
- Algorithmic Procedure
- Conclusions

Background

Neutrino Oscillations

- Experimental Evidence:
 - MINOS
 - KamLAND
 - Super-Kamiokande
 - SNO
 - K₂K
- Neutrino sources:
 - Atmospheric, reactor, accelerator, solar, geo

Neutrino Oscillations (cont.):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\nu_\mu(t=0)\rangle = |\nu_\mu\rangle = -\sin \theta |\nu_1\rangle + \cos \theta |\nu_2\rangle$$

$$|\nu_\mu(t)\rangle = -\sin \theta |\nu_1\rangle e^{-i\frac{E_1 t}{\hbar}} + \cos \theta |\nu_2\rangle e^{-i\frac{E_2 t}{\hbar}}$$

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) = |\langle \nu_e | \nu_\mu(t) \rangle|^2$$

MATH!

$$P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e}(L, E) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27 \Delta m^2 \frac{L}{E_\nu} \right)$$

**Schrödinger's
Equation!**



Neutrino Oscillation (cont.):

$$U = \begin{matrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \text{diag}(e^{i\alpha_1/2}, e^{i\alpha_2/2}, 1) .$$




$$c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$$

$$s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$$

MicroBooNE:





Hand-Scanning








Incoming = 3.73 GeV ν_μ
CC Mode = quasi-elastic
Target = 18-Ar-040; Nucleon = n
2 primary particles:
0: 3.13 GeV μ^-
1: 1.50 GeV p

Interactions:

- Neutral-Current (NC) vs. Charged Current (CC)
- Modes of Scattering:
 - Quasi-Elastic (QE)
 - Resonant (RES)
 - Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS)








Algorithmic Procedure

- ν_e and ν_μ events expected
- Neutral-current (NC) interactions do not distinguish neutrino flavor
- Need to pick out small charged-current (CC) ν_e signal

	CC	NC
ν_e	✓	✗
ν_μ	✗	✗

Step 1, Neutrino Interaction Vertex Identification:



- Point from which all primary tracks originate
- The vertex should have the same time location in both induction planes and the collection plane
- Frequently there is a large energy deposition at the vertex
- Events without a well contained primary vertex are intractable and should be discarded



Step 2, Electromagnetic Shower Identification:

- Any identifiable ν_e event will contain a shower, so if absent the event may be discarded
- Defined by a high concentration of isolated spots of energy deposition
- Often have “branching” or “forking”

Step 2 (cont.):



Step 3, μ Identification:

- Distinctive long, straight, minimum ionizing track
- Long: spanning over 700 wires
- Minimum ionizing: average pulse height 35 ADC
 - 50 a good upper limit
- If the event contains a μ , it can be discarded


Step 4, Determination of Shower Origin:

- Candidates: π^0 , π^\pm , γ , e
- γ :
 - Neutral particle
 - Gap spanning a couple wires
- π^0 :
 - $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$
 - Common origin
 - Typically lower energy

Step 4 (cont.):

- π^\pm :
 - Rarer event
 - Typically lower energy
 - Most often will contain a short tail before actual shower
- e :
 - Connected to primary interaction vertex in all planes
 - Usually dense showers

Step 4 (cont.):



Algorithm Summary:

- Remove all non-fiducial events
- Remove all non-showering events
 - NC QE, most v_μ events
- Remove all μ -track containing events
- Remove NC showering events
 - NC RES, NC DIS

Conclusions



Results

- Efficiency of background rejection:
 - 96%
- Efficiency of signal retention:
 - All identified
 - 7/1
 - 14%
- Breakdown of algorithm:
 - Efficiency of μ identification: 93%
 - Efficiency of π^\pm shower identification: 70%
 - Efficiency of π^0 identification: 68%



Remaining Questions

- Looking quantitatively at particle energy and shower properties
 - Density of energy deposition
 - Could help differentiate π^0 and e
- Vertex gaps in electron events
 - Happens rarely
 - Loss of signal
- Look into higher mass particles
 - K^\pm , Σ^+ , Λ^0



Acknowledgements:

- We'd like to thank the following for their help and guidance in this endeavor:
 - Tim Bolton
 - Glenn Horton-Smith
 - David McKee